

Environmental
Restoration
Contractor

ERC Team

Meeting Minutes

CCN: 070762

Job No. 22192

Written Response Required: NO
Due Date: N/A
Actionee: N/A
Closes CCN: N/A
OU: GW/VZ100
TSD: N/A
ERA: N/A
Subject Code: 8830, 4170

SUBJECT GW/VZ REGULATORY PATH FORWARD WORK GROUP MEETING -
MAY 20, 1999

TO Distribution

FROM Bruce Ford and Dru Butler, BHI

DATE July 8, 1999

ATTENDEES

Dru Butler (BHI)
Linda Fassbender (PNNL)
Bruce Ford (BHI)
Rich Holten (DOE-RL)
Bob Johnson (DOE-RL)
Linda Johnson (CHI)
Gordon Rogers (Tri-Cities Caucus)
Phil Staats (Ecology)
Tom Wintczak (BHI)
Jon Yerxa (DOE-RL)

DISTRIBUTION

Attendees
GW/VZ Regulatory Path Forward Work Group
Document and Information Services H0-09

Meeting Location:

A meeting on the above subject was held on May 20, 1999, at 3350 George Washington Way, Richland, Washington, Bechtel Hanford, Inc. (BHI) Room 1B40 at 1:00 p.m.

Meeting Minutes:

Draft Supporting Materials

Tom Wintczak presented the drafts of two primary supporting tables:

- Background information grouped by area, waste type, and decision
- Comparison of Driver Assumptions And Issues For Material Types And Areas

Tom Wintczak is trying to line the two tables up. The second table is provided only for information and no action is required from the Work Group. (If you would like a copy of these draft tables, please contact Gary Jewell at 509-372-9192.)

Group Objectives

Discussion centered on four general areas:

- The Work Group must identify key decision points and endpoints, or at least focus attention on these topics.
- A timeline will be provided with all the regulatory decision points identified as a key product.

- Grouping and timing of activities is as equally important as the regulations to effectively achieve an acceptable endstate for the Hanford Site.
- The Work Group should be in existence for a short duration (months). The Work Group needs to prepare a problem statement and objectives which clearly communicate the scope and outcome expected of this group. It is essential that regulators are active participants and leaders in the Work Group. The “use” of the Work Group recommendations needs to be clarified.

Materials Necessary to Formulate Recommendations

The following materials were deemed necessary by the Work Group to provide a basis for making recommendations:

- Timeframes for when decisions must occur must be defined (e.g. foreseeable future)
- Points of compliance (POCs) and points of information (POIs)
[The latter (POI) is a point along a pathway that may not be a POC, but is helpful or useful information for decision making.]
- Compliance standards
- Exposure scenarios
- Timing and grouping of decisions
- Identifying decisions that require cumulative impact information

Next Steps

This Work Group will be “put on hold” until the Integration Project Team can adequately staff this work. The next meeting will be in early August.